Superior Court of Arizona

Maricopa County Juvenile Probation Department




2005 Data Book

TABLE OF CONTENTS


HOW MARICOPA COUNTY'S JUVENILE JUSTICE SYSTEM WORKS	3
STATE AND COUNTY STATISTICS/JUVENILE POPULATION	4
STATISTICAL SUMMARY CHART	
WORKLOAD STATISTICS SUMMARY	6
FINANCIAL SUMMARY	8
COMPLAINTS RECEIVED 2001 – 2005	10
Complaints/Juveniles	10
TYPE OF COMPLAINT	
TEN MOST COMMON OFFENSES	
COMPARISON – MOST COMMON OFFENSES 2001 – 2005	
SEVERITY TYPE OF OFFENSE	
DISPOSITION OF COMPLAINTS 2001 – 2005	
Non-Court Dispositions	
COURT DISPOSITIONS	
ADULT CRIMINAL DISPOSITIONS	
REFERRAL SOURCE	22
Source of Complaint 2005	22
SOURCE OF COMPLAINTS AND TYPE OF OFFENSE	
Most Frequent Complaint Sources – Annual Changes	
CHARACTERISTICS OF JUVENILES REFERRED	25
GENDER	
AGE AT TIME OF COMPLAINT	
ETHNICITY	
SCHOOL STATUSNUMBER OF PRIOR COMPLAINTS	
FIRST TIME OFFENDERS	
DETENTION	
JUVENILES BROUGHT TO DETENTION SCREENING AND JUVENILES DETAINED	_
PROBATION	
PROBATION STATISTICS 2001 – 2005	
A Day on Standard Probation 12-01-2005	
ACCOUNTABILITY	
COURT ORDERED MONETARY PENALTY 2001 – 2005	
RESTITUTION 2001 - 2005	
EDUCATIONAL COUNSELING PROGRAMS 2001 - 2005.	
OTHER CONSEQUENCES 2001 - 2005.	
TRENDS: COMMITMENTS TO JUVENILE CORRECTIONS	37
COMMITMENT PROFILE 2005	38

JUVENILES PROSECUTED AS ADULTS	39
Direct Files	40
REMANDS	41
DIVERSION	42
Consequences	44
JUVENILES ASSIGNED TO DIVERSION	45
TREATMENT	46
RECIDIVISM	47
PROBABILITY OF NOT GETTING A NEW OFFENSE WITHIN ONE YEAR	47
DIVERSION	
Probation	
CLASS OF 2005	50
VICTIMS AND RESTITUTION	51
COURT ACTIVITY	52
2005 PETITIONS FILED	
2005 COURT HEARING SUMMARY	
COURT HEARINGS SCHEDULED 2001 – 2005	
TOP 20 ZIP CODES	55
MARICOPA COUNTY ZIP CODES OF RESIDENCE BY CITY FOR 2005 REFERRALS	56
ARIZONA COUNTIES FOR 2005 REFERRALS	60
SCHOOL DISTRICTS OF JUVENILES REFERRED	61
ELEMENTARY DISTRICTS	61
HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICTS	_
Unified Districts	
MISCELLANEOUS SCHOOLS	
MAPS BY ZIP CODE	
JUVENILE REFERRALS BY ZIP CODE	
JUVENILE VIOLENT REFERRALS BY ZIP CODE	
JUVENILE DRUG REFERRALS BY ZIP CODE	65

HOW MARICOPA COUNTY'S JUVENILE JUSTICE SYSTEM WORKS



STATE AND COUNTY STATISTICS/JUVENILE POPULATION



- Arizona is geographically the 6th largest state in the nation with 113,642 square miles and a population of 5,743,834 in 15 counties.
- Maricopa County is the 4th most populated county in the nation with 9,204 square miles, and a population of 3,501,001 (DES estimate, July 2005).
- Approximately 61% of the state's population resides in Maricopa County.
- There are 380 residents per square mile.
- Phoenix (population 1,416,055) is the county seat, state capital, and the largest city in the state.
- Other major cities in Maricopa County are: Mesa (447,130); Glendale (233,330); Scottsdale (221,130);
 Chandler (220,705); Gilbert (164,685); Tempe (160,820); and Peoria (132,300).

STATISTICAL SUMMARY CHART

ADULT PROSECUTIONS

Remands

Direct Files

Juveniles - 66

Juveniles - 321

DEPARTMENT OF JUVENILE CORRECTIONS

Committed

Awarded

Juveniles - 424

Juveniles - 109

DETENTION

Juveniles Detained – 8,724 Avg. Daily Population – 432 Detention Capacity – 406 Avg. Stay – 18.2 Days

RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT SERVICES

Juveniles Placed in Residential Treatment - 453

JUVENILE INTENSIVE PROBATION (JIPS)

New Cases Placed on JIPS - 753

JUVENILE STANDARD PROBATION

New Cases Placed on Standard Probation – 4,660

DELINQUENCY PETITIONS – 13,761

Does not include direct files in Adult Criminal Court - 322

REFERRALS - 33,123

Juveniles Referred - 24,165

JUVENILE POPULATION - 490,751

(Ages 8-17)

Maricopa County Juvenile Court 2005

WORKLOAD STATISTICS SUMMARY

Juvenile Population	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Estimate of County Population Under 18	860,352	861,454	878,683	896,257	929,710
Estimate of County Population 8 through 17	477,973	461,090	470,311	479,718	490,751

Referrals Received	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Incorrigibility/Delinquent Referrals Received	36,725	34,844	34,425	34,103	33,123
Juveniles Referred	25,761	24,695	24,528	24,417	24,165
Referrals per Juvenile	1.43	1.41	1.40	1.40	1.37

Dispositions	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Juveniles Placed on Standard Probation	5,414	4,990	4,685	4,836	4,660
Juveniles Committed to Dept. of Juvenile Corrections (ADJC)	341	293	362	365	424
Juveniles Remanded to Adult Court	35	63	54	52	66
Juveniles Filed Directly in Criminal (Adult) Court	340	278	241	255	321

Detention	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Juveniles Brought to Detention	10,484	10,214	10,097	9,711	9,935
Juveniles Detained	10,069	9,850	9,352	8,799	8,724
Percent Detained	96.0%	96.4%	92.6%	90.6%	87.8%
Average Daily Population	404	401	411	445	432
Detention Capacity at Year End	357	357	357	406	406
Average Length of Stay in Detention (Days)	14.4	14.7	15.5	18.2	18.2

WORKLOAD STATISTICS SUMMARY

Petitions Filed	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Adoption	956	935	929	1,063	1,099
Severance*	288	465	246	298	1,227
Dependency	952	1,145	1,621	1,813	1,931
Delinquency/Incorrigibility	15,847	14,400	14,244	14,285	13,761
Adult Criminal	365	365	258	274	322
Guardianship**	0	0	0	224	1,604
Miscellaneous	534	532	660	637	564
Total Petitions Filed	18,942	17,642	17,958	18,594	20,508

Court Hearings Scheduled	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Adoption	1,484	1,391	1,603	1,379	1,478
Severance	1,081	1,090	947	863	931
Dependency	18,382	20,106	25,360	32,381	36,836
Delinquency/Incorrigibility	54,896	53,295	51,676	52,819	52,806
Guardianship	0	0	0	120	1,999
Miscellaneous	973	784	745	865	638
Total Hearings Scheduled	76,816	76,666	80,331	88,427	94,688

^{*} Severance Petitions Filed now includes Motions and Petitions. 874 Severance Motions were filed in 2005.

^{**} In January of 2005 (FY04/05) Guardianship petitions involving minors were moved from the Adult Court to the Juvenile Court to be handled with other Juvenile Court matters.

FINANCIAL SUMMARY

By Fiscal Year

County	FY2002	FY2003	FY2004	FY2005	FY2006
General Fund	10,411,176	11,038,061	11,434,357	12,025,407	12,088,457
Detention Fund	12,571,824	13,042,976	17,316,5694	22,080,447	27,436,497
Total	22,983,000	24,081,036	28,750,926	34,105,854	39,524,954

					
State Grants	FY2002	FY2003	FY2004	FY2005	FY2006
Arizona Community Foundation	10,000	0	10,000	0	0
Court Improvement	39,846	39,522	37,640	43,362	0
Diversion Consequences *	119,208	112,975	291,407	432,035	419,053
Diversion Intake	1,339,173	1,347,813	1,383,944	1,424,818	1,446,337
Diversion Counseling	1,300,037	1,301,485	1,410,406	999,532	821,567
Drug Court	83,008	69,542	43,299	0	0
Family Counseling *	355,919	352,654	342,439	347,756	318,038
First Step/Step Ahead	32,704	0	0	0	0
First Cuts	407,726	395,744	0	66,163	71,640
Gateway	0	0	0	2,128	7,500
Hazard Abatement	0	0	0	6,006	0
JCEF	0	0	0	395,805	55,407
JIPS	3,630,917	3,704,361	3,793,790	3,882,207	3,774,684
JIPS Treatment Services *	829,800	415,590	390,605	412,812	229,582
JIPS VIPS	298,053	23,553	0	0	0
JPSF Treatment (formerly Foster Care) *	11,601,270	9,141,145	10,589,094	10,258,069	7,882,515
Junior Justice Program	0	0	0	4015	0
Juvenile Crime Reduction Fund	0	191,207	6,000	5,000	6,000
Juvenile Transfer Grant	44,394	35,962	0	0	0
Juvenile Treatment Services	240,076	235,310	153,646	173,944	231,540
Roosevelt School	0	0	0	0	46,693
Safe Schools	1,283,588	1,409,198	1,420,871	1,629,396	1,756,439
Standard Probation	3,910,574	3,732,186	3,745,157	3,669,850	3,650,874
Two Hundred Kids	46,197	0	0	0	0
Victims Rights	131,646	127,700	117,335	123,761	142,330
Voc Rehab	70,000	70,000	70,000	70,000	70,000
Total	25,774,136	22,705,946	23,795,633	23,946,659	20,930,199

^{*} Indicates amounts retained by the grantor to be spent on behalf of the Maricopa County Juvenile Probation Department. Previous year's numbers adjusted to reflect final fiscal year end closing amounts.

FINANCIAL SUMMARY


By Fiscal Year

Federal Grants	FY2002	FY2003	FY2004	FY2005	FY2006
Aftercare	0	6,918	83,333	5,589	0
ВЈА	749,677	407,794	300,928	324,432	279,865
Bulletproof Vest	10,624	4,679	18,915	0	0
Drug Court	15,690	79,135	127,391	0	0
JAIBG	3,429,515	1,905,162	1,805,305	1,210,051	1,418,253
MYAP	0	0	0	0	38,853
Project Payback	0	34,109	0	0	0
SOAR	133,137	88,642	171,440	99,288	17,982
Food & Nutrition	458,064	544,090	525,000	582,110	595,185
School Violence Prevention	117,054	266,613	0	0	0
Sunnyslope Action Initiative	260,762	55,905	0	0	0
Title V	0	0	0	69,175	84,246
Total	5,174,523	3,393,048	3,032,312	2,290,645	2,434,384

		*	*	•	
Service Fees	FY2002	FY2003	FY2004	FY2005	FY2006
Probation Fees	1,009,894	778,399	831,160	911,586	765,688
Diversion Fees	196,237	183,486	216,400	185,469	201,076
Juvenile Probation Capital Fund	325,924	506,121	642,622	337,108	0
Juvenile Restitution Fund	0	1,144	49,515	27,335	29,925
Total	1,532,055	1,469,149	1,739,697	1,461,498	996,689

	FY2002	FY2003	FY2004	FY2005	FY2006
All Grants and Fees	32,480,714	27,568,143	28,883,413	27,103,308	24,361,272
County Funds	22,983,000	24,081,036	28,750,926	37,138,812	39,524,954
Total Budget	55,463,714	51,649,180	57,634,339	64,242,120	63,886,226


COMPLAINTS RECEIVED 2001 – 2005 COMPLAINTS/JUVENILES



In 2005, there were an estimated 490,751 juveniles between the ages of 8 and 17 living in Maricopa County. In any given year, about 5% of the juvenile population between the ages of 8 and 17 of Maricopa County are referred at least once to the Juvenile Court. That's about one juvenile in every 20. In 2005, there were 33,123 referrals generated by 24,165 juveniles, which computes to 1.37 referrals per juvenile. Since 2001, the number of complaints has dropped by 9.8%. The average number of complaints per juvenile (1.37) has also dropped slightly from 1.42 in 2001.

COMPLAINTS RECEIVED 2001 – 2005

TYPE OF COMPLAINT



There are four types of complaints:

- Citation: Juvenile is issued a citation (i.e. traffic ticket).
- Detained: Juvenile is detained at detention center.
- Not Detained: Juvenile is brought to detention center, screened and released for follow-up.
- Paper: Referral is sent to the Juvenile Court and the County Attorney for processing.

	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Citation	12,585	12,559	12,552	11,966	11,687
	34.30%	36.03%	36.46%	35.09%	35.28%
Detained	4,404	4,111	3,609	3,380	3,233
	12.00%	11.79%	10.48%	9.91%	9.76%
Not Detained	819	362	723	863	1,219
	2.20%	1.04%	2.10%	2.53%	3.68%
Paper Referral	18,918	17,826	17,546	17,894	16,984
	51.50%	51.14%	50.96%	52.47%	51.28%
Total	36,726	34,858	34,430	34,103	33,123

COMPLAINTS RECEIVED 2001 – 2005

TEN MOST COMMON OFFENSES

Offenses	2005	Total
Shoplifting - Misdemeanor	3,466	15.9%
Truancy	3,376	15.5%
Curfew	2,921	13.4%
Violation of Probation	2,572	11.8%
Traffic Violation	2,372	10.9%
Alcohol	2,348	10.8%
Possess Marijuana	1,571	7.2%
Assault - Domestic Violence	1,183	5.4%
Assault - Simple	1,073	4.9%
Runaway	878	4.0%
Totals	21,760	100.0%

Shoplifting was the most common offense in 2005. The rank order of some of these offenses has changed in the past five years. Many of these changes are the result of program and or procedural changes made by Juvenile Probation, local law enforcement (i.e., curfew), and the Juvenile Court. Truancy has been the most common offense in that past 6 years. Increases in truancy enforcement lead to the number of truancy complaints reaching an all time high of 5,114 in 2001. In the years following this increase truancy has steadily declined because the Juvenile Probation Department has been working with schools to develop truancy reduction programs. Research has shown that truancy is a significant pre-cursor to more serious delinquent behavior.


COMPARISON - MOST COMMON OFFENSES 2001 - 2005

Based on 2005 Rank Order

Offense	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Shoplifting - Misdemeanor	3,775	3,528	3,401	3,589	3,466
Truancy	5,114	4,405	3,938	4,071	3,376
Curfew	2,641	2,461	2,518	1,996	2,921
Violation of Probation	3,404	3,480	3,146	2,852	2,572
Traffic Violation	2,370	2,607	2,685	2,456	2,372
Alcohol	2,458	2,604	2,839	2,771	2,348
Possess Marijuana	1,538	1,274	1,579	1,591	1,571
Assault - Domestic Violence	1,060	1,063	1,099	1,153	1,183
Assault - Simple	1,044	1,011	1,099	1,058	1,073
Runaway	739	862	782	849	878
Total	24,143	23,295	23,086	22,386	21,760

COMPLAINTS RECEIVED 2005

SEVERITY TYPE OF OFFENSE



There are literally hundreds of different types of offenses that are sent to the Juvenile Court each year. To analyze these offenses more efficiently each offense has been placed into one of nine severity types. Here are the nine types in descending order of severity:

<u>Violent</u>	These are felony of	fenses against persons suc	ch as murder, aggravated	l assault, or robbery.
----------------	---------------------	----------------------------	--------------------------	------------------------

These are felony offenses in which property is damaged or stolen, and the property is worth more than **Felony Property** \$250. Examples are burglary, theft, forgery, criminal damage, or graffiti.

Obstruction These offenses are illegal acts that impede the enforcement of justice. For juveniles, 75% of these offenses are a violation of the terms of probation.

Fighting These are misdemeanor offenses against persons, such as simple assault.

These are offenses that involve the use or sale of any drug, and are almost always felonies. Paint and **Drugs** glue sniffing are included here.

Public Peace * These offenses are generally misdemeanors, and involve acts like disorderly conduct, giving false information, trespass, weapons misconduct, and possession of alcohol.

Misdemeanor These are property offenses in which the property is valued less than \$250. The most common offenses

Property are shoplifting and criminal damage.

Status * These offenses are acts that are illegal only because a juvenile commits them, such as: incorrigibility,

truancy, runaway, and curfew.

Administrative These are not illegal offenses, but are creations of the court which help record the court's involvement


with a juvenile in certain situations, such as holding a juvenile for another jurisdiction or agency, or


conducting a hearing on a matter transferred from another jurisdiction.


^{*} In 1998, possession or use of alcohol was re-classified from a status offense to a misdemeanor and was moved to Public Peace severity type from Status severity type.


COMPLAINTS RECEIVED 2001 – 2005 SEVERITY TYPE OF OFFENSE


The graphs on this and the next page show the trends among offenses in the past five years. Violent offenses increased by 13% from 2004, while obstruction of justice dropped by 11% (Mostly due to a drop in violations of probation, which are counted in this category).
















COMPLAINTS RECEIVED 2001 – 2005 SEVERITY TYPE OF OFFENSE





COMPLAINTS RECEIVED 2001 – 2005

MOST SEVERE OFFENSE PER COMPLAINT

Violent Offenses	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Aggravated Assault - Domestic Violence	145	168	178	178	153
Aggravated Assault	427	415	416	435	564
Aggravated Assault with a Weapon	218	234	203	176	206
Arson - Occupied Structure	24	30	13	16	18
Child Abuse - Felony	4	11	4	3	3
Child Molest	97	83	87	104	96
Custodial Interference - Felony	1	2	0	1	2
Drive By Shooting	10	26	12	18	28
Gang/Syndicate Participation	0	0	0	0	0
Harrassment/Terrorism - Felony	0	2	0	3	0
Kidnap	18	19	17	13	21
Murder/Homicide/Manslaughter	23	19	19	28	19
Obscenity	0	0	0	0	0
Poisoning	2	3	5	1	0
Robbery	83	77	75	95	81
Robbery - Armed	146	123	127	100	124
Sexual Abuse - Felony	102	118	105	116	135
Sexual Assault - Felony	26	20	25	25	22
Threats - Felony	33	52	56	21	33
Violent Totals	1,359	1,402	1,342	1,333	1,505

Felony Property Offenses	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Arson - Unoccupied Structure - Felony	28	20	17	14	37
Burglary 1 - Armed	58	35	63	44	53
Burglary 2 - Residential	414	393	423	411	364
Burglary 3 - Non-residential	688	705	624	590	536
Burglary - Possession Tools - Felony	18	21	21	25	18
Chop Shop Participation	0	2	2	3	6
Credit Card - Theft/Fraud-Felony	43	45	34	42	18
Criminal Damage - Domestic Violence - Felony	88	73	84	66	98
Criminal Damage - Felony	328	356	377	368	442
Criminal Damage - Aggravated-Felony	111	120	133	135	157
Criminal Damage - Graffiti-Felony	66	82	51	64	63
Extortion - Felony	1	2	1	1	4
Forgery - Felony	108	88	79	71	59
Fraud	93	52	28	30	33
Littering/Polluting - Felony	0	0	2	2	0
Possess Stolen Property - Felony	66	49	57	46	42
Shoplifting - Felony	151	206	133	186	181
Taking Identity of Another	0	6	0	0	0
Theft - Felony	307	324	208	244	271
Theft from Mail - Felony	3	4	7	6	0
Theft Means of Transportation	594	599	693	657	675
Theft of Vehicle - Felony	19	8	6	5	3
Unlawful Use Transportion - Felony	362	360	321	364	323
Felony Property Totals	3,546	3,550	3,364	3,374	3,383

COMPLAINTS RECEIVED 2001 – 2005 MOST SEVERE OFFENSE PER COMPLAINT

Obstruction Offenses	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Bribery - Felony	1	0	0	0	0
Compound Felony	0	0	0	0	0
City Ordinance	4	0	0	0	0
Contempt of Court	1	1	0	1	1
Contraband	6	0	0	0	0
Escape	63	86	106	103	71
Failure to Obey Police	21	40	34	39	26
Hindering Prosecution	32	25	17	17	12
Obstruct Criminal Investigation	16	10	13	12	12
Obstruct Government Operations	15	13	18	18	25
Resisting Arrest	88	58	72	86	74
Violation of Probation	3,277	3,482	3,147	2,852	2,572
Obstruction Offenses	3,524	3,715	3,407	3,128	2,793

Fighting Offenses	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Aggravated Assault - Participation	2	1	3	1	4
Assault - Domestic Violence	1,060	1,063	1,099	1,153	1,183
Assault - Simple	1,044	1,012	1,099	1,058	1,073
Custodial Interference - Misdemeanor	0	0	0	0	0
Endangerment	14	21	31	26	13
Sexual Abuse / Assault - Misdemeanor	0	0	0	0	2
Threats - Misdemeanor	253	198	166	183	196
Unlawful Imprisonment - Misdemeanor	2	0	3	0	0
Fighting Totals	2,375	2,295	2,401	2,421	2,471

Drug Offenses	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Contraband Drugs	0	0	0	0	0
Dangerous Drugs	139	136	193	175	187
Drug Paraphernalia	496	424	448	450	383
Drugs on School Grounds	302	163	220	304	268
Illegal Vapors	52	54	28	23	21
Imitation Substances	8	1	0	3	3
Involving Minor in Drugs	2	1	0	3	1
Narcotics - Possess/Sell	143	108	94	103	93
Possess Marijuana	1,538	1,274	1,580	1,591	1,571
Possess Marijuana for Sale	63	61	51	56	44
Prescription Drugs	34	37	31	62	29
Using Facilities for Drugs	0	0	0	0	0
Drug Totals	2,777	2,259	2,645	2,770	2,600

COMPLAINTS RECEIVED 2001 – 2005 MOST SEVERE OFFENSE PER COMPLAINT

Public Peace Offenses	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Alcohol	2,458	2,598	2,837	2,771	2,348
Boating Offense	75	40	73	88	62
City Ordinance	241	304	179	286	209
Contraband in Secure Facility	10	3	4	7	3
Criminal Damage - Misdemeanor	0	0	0	1	0
Criminal Nuisance	14	2	26	10	14
Criminal Trespass	645	583	548	589	432
Cruelty to Animals	6	3	8	11	4
Discharge Firearm - Non-Residential Bldg	2	2	0	0	0
Disorderly Conduct	500	512	495	472	523
Disturbing the Peace - Domestic Violence	367	363	336	405	395
Driving While Intoxicated	226	229	245	269	207
Explosives Misconduct	2	2	5	2	2
False Report	254	255	319	248	285
Felony Flight	27	20	20	13	14
Firearms Possession by a Minor	21	18	40	35	38
Fireworks	31	13	21	10	30
Game and Fish	29	43	26	17	11
Gang/Syndicate Participation	18	14	8	24	11
Graffiti Tools	8	6	12	8	6
Interfere with Judicial Proceedings	58	54	48	71	69
Leaving an Accident	22	14	30	15	18
Lewd Behavior - Felony	5	6	11	6	4
Lewd Behavior - Misdemeanor	18	21	25	16	13
Loitering	1	5	5	5	0
Neglect/Exploit a Minor	12	6	4	6	6
Obscenity	0	2	1	3	4
Prostitution	23	39	51	42	28
Reckless Burning	38	58	46	31	32
School Interference	284	180	214	140	132
Stalking	0	2	1	0	0
Teacher Abuse	0	0	0	0	0
Tobacco	517	386	389	417	405
Traffic Violation	2,365	2,612	2,685	2,456	2,372
Ungrouped	0	0	0	0	1
Unlawful use of Telephone	21	30	29	33	27
Weapons Misconduct - Felony	86	71	73	83	74
Weapons Misconduct - Gang	35	28	32	46	52
Weapons Misconduct - Misdemeanor	92	83	63	72	54
Public Peace Totals	8,511	8,607	8,909	8,708	7,885

COMPLAINTS RECEIVED 2001 - 2005

MOST SEVERE OFFENSE PER COMPLAINT

Misdemeanor Property Offenses	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Arson-Unoccupied Structure - Misdemeanor	2	3	1	5	3
Burglary 3 - Non-Residential	3	4	5	4	1
Credit Card - Theft/Fraud - Misdemeanor	3	5	5	5	5
Criminal Damage - Domestic Violence	325	322	349	359	378
Criminal Damage - Misdemeanor	340	316	293	312	308
Criminal Damage - Aggravated - Misdemeanor	0	0	0	0	0
Criminal Damage-Graffiti - Misdemeanor	123	158	133	140	203
Forgery - Misdemeanor	0	0	0	0	0
Fraud	1	0	1	1	2
Littering/Polluting - Misdemeanor	19	16	15	22	21
Possess Stolen Property - Misdemeanor	41	27	17	27	25
Rented Property Misuse - Misdemeanor	0	0	0	0	0
Shoplifting - Misdemeanor	3,775	3,529	3,401	3,589	3,466
Theft - Misdemeanor	544	513	548	610	566
Theft from Mail - Misdemeanor	0	0	0	0	0
Theft of Vehicle - Misdemeanor	0	0	0	1	0
Unlawful Use Transportation Misdemeanor	2	4	0	1	0
Misdemeanor Property Totals	5,178	4,897	4,768	5,076	4,978

Status Offenses	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Curfew	2,633	2,458	2,519	1,996	2,921
Incorrigible	481	27	1	15	6
Runaway	738	862	783	849	878
Runaway - From Other Jurisdiction (FOJ)	104	100	113	107	70
Truancy	5,117	4,413	3,939	4,071	3,376
Status Totals	9,073	7,860	7,355	7,038	7,251

Administrative Offenses	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Administrative	7	14	1	0	4
Courtesy Supervision	46	44	38	52	46
Transfer for Hearing	17	33	29	35	21
Transfer - Probation Supervision	107	105	107	95	84
Warrant	77	77	64	73	102
Administrative Totals	254	273	239	255	257

DISPOSITION OF COMPLAINTS 2001 – 2005

Non-Court Dispositions

(Based on Disposition Date)*

		2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Administrative	Invalid	6	9	3	0	0
	Withdrawn	1	1	1	2	2
	Non-Complaint Completed	0	2	0	0	0
	Released (Traffic or Delinquency Warrant)	26	40	32	41	0
Record Only	Not Interviewed	418	322	274	304	390
	Pending Adult	3	7	11	1	3
	Referred - Diversion Program	9	9	1	0	0
	County Attorney Choose Not To File	1,608	1,530	1,646	1,559	1,513
	Referred Limited Jurisdiction Court	29	162	106	94	87
	Referred - Other Agency	1	0	0	0	0
	Referred - Other Jurisdiction	39	33	0	0	0
	Referred - Police Agency	876	834	927	833	860
	Referred -ADJC (Az Dept of Juv Corrections)	21	27	53	46	62
Referred - Police Agency	Adjusted Counsel & Warn	9	5	0	3	4
	Adjusted – Conditional	9,076	8,947	9,311	9,048	8,957
	Adjusted – From Other Jurisdiction	108	107	123	123	148
	Adjusted - Not Filed by County Attorney	1,184	880	768	754	572
	Adjusted	916	835	603	491	436
	Adjusted – Plea	30	44	32	37	39
Non Court	Loss of Jurisdiction	1,921	2,260	2,416	2,324	2,285
Total Non-Court	Dispositions	16,281	16,054	16,307	15,660	15,358

^{*} In the past these numbers were based on dispositions of complaints filed during the year. As of 2004, they now reflect the total count of dispositions for each year.

DISPOSITION OF COMPLAINTS 2001 – 2005

COURT DISPOSITIONS

(Based on Disposition Date)*

		2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Dismissed	Dismissed	6,250	5,071	4,788	5,002	5,028
	T :	4.44	4.40	400		
Terminate & Close	Terminate & Close	141	140	138	135	156
Penalty	Penalty	314	265	193	182	175
Probation	Probation (Standard & JIPS)	5,197	4,914	4,313	4,523	4,548
	Probation with Restitution	243	299	557	514	439
	Continued Probation	3,943	3,759	3,511	3,182	2,831
	Continued Probation - Restitution	72	115	214	189	144
	Courtesy Probation	152	146	145	150	129
ADJC	Committed – ADJC	410	376	471	470	535
	Awarded – ADJC	151	139	112	126	123
Transferred	To Other Jurisdiction	74	59	91	72	44
Remanded	To Adult Court	45	72	59	54	78
Limited	Guilty	1,166	711	577	667	421
Jurisdiction Court	Not Guilty	0	0	0	1	0
Total Court Disposi	tions	18,158	16,066	15,169	15,267	14,651

^{*} In the past these numbers were based on dispositions of complaints filed during the year. They now reflect the total count of dispositions for each year.

ADULT CRIMINAL DISPOSITIONS

(Based on Disposition Date)*

	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Dismissed	21	24	22	12	16
Probation	67	52	49	32	58
Jail**	161	106	67	58	52
Prison**	116	93	52	42	55
Pending Trial	32	149	105	113	99
Pending Sentencing***	29	24	30	25	12
Total Adult Criminal Dispositions	426	448	325	282	292

^{*} In the past these numbers were based on dispositions of complaints filed during the year. They now reflect the total count of dispositions for each year.

^{**} May include Time on Probation.

^{***} Direct Files Only.

REFERRAL SOURCE SOURCE OF COMPLAINT 2005

	Count	Percent
Phoenix Police Department	9,299	28.07%
Mesa Police Department	3,164	9.55%
School	2,963	8.95%
Glendale Police Department	2,682	8.10%
Probation Officer	2,594	7.83%
Tempe Police Department	2,376	7.17%
Chandler Police Department	2,113	6.38%
Maricopa County Sheriff	1,540	4.65%
Scottsdale Police Department	1,136	3.43%
Gilbert Police Department	864	2.61%
Peoria Police Department	699	2.11%
Avondale Police Department	656	1.98%
Surprise Police Department	634	1.91%
Department of Public Safety	497	1.50%
Goodyear Police Department	369	1.11%
AIM (Attendance is Mandatory Program)	256	0.77%
Buckeye Police Department	231	0.70%
Tolleson Police Department	224	0.68%
Other Law Enforcement	193	0.58%
El Mirage Police Department	178	0.54%
State Department of Corrections	154	0.46%
Youngtown Police Department	72	0.22%
Other Arizona County	63	0.19%
Surveillance Officer	48	0.14%
Wickenburg Police Department	48	0.14%
Salt River Police	30	0.09%
Paradise Valley Police Department	20	0.06%
Gila Bend Police Department	6	0.02%
Postal Inspector	5	0.02%
Court	5	0.02%
Fountain Hills Marshal's Office	2	0.01%
Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation	2	0.01%
Total	33,123	100%

REFERRAL SOURCE Source of Complaints and Type of Offense

		Felony	Obstruct.		_	_	Misd.			
	Violent	Prop.	Justice	Fight	Drugs	Peace	Prop.	Status	Admin.	Total
AIM (Attendance is Mandatory)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	256	0	256
Avondale Police Department	27	85	7	94	76	178	132	56	1	656
Buckeye Police Department	10	20	5	21	35	85	8	47	0	231
Chandler Police Department	56	189	15	170	145	584	254	697	3	2,113
Court	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5
Department of Public Safety	0	15	1	0	10	464	2	5	0	497
El Mirage Police Department	14	37	0	29	32	43	20	2	1	178
Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
Fountain Hills Marshal's Office	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
Gila Bend Police Department	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	6
Gilbert Police Department	32	98	1	96	153	157	124	203	0	864
Glendale Police Department	156	291	23	352	251	777	558	268	6	2,682
Goodyear Police Department	14	63	5	40	53	118	57	19	0	369
Maricopa County Sheriff	78	154	18	92	110	854	123	102	9	1,540
Mesa Police Department	131	372	14	299	413	898	813	202	22	3,164
Other Arizona County	0	0	0	2	0	4	2	2	53	63
Other Law Enforcement	3	11	1	4	8	45	14	4	103	193
Paradise Valley Police Department	4	0	0	3	9	3	1	0	0	20
Peoria Police Department	34	120	3	76	101	190	152	23	0	699
Phoenix Police Department	643	1,515	59	862	787	2,080	2,131	1,182	40	9,299
Postal Inspector	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
Probation Officer	0	3	2,582	0	0	1	0	7	1	2,594
Salt River Police	0	5	0	1	3	10	9	0	2	30
School	0	0	0	0	0	37	0	2,926	0	2,963
Scottsdale Police Department	43	132	2	88	122	458	114	173	4	1,136
State Department of Corrections	134	7	2	1	0	10	0	0	0	154
Surprise Police Department	35	29	1	43	61	273	60	131	1	634
Surveillance Officer	0	2	45	0	0	0	1	0	0	48
Tempe Police Department	74	186	5	176	197	509	377	846	6	2,376
Tolleson Police Department	10	35	3	14	21	77	17	47	0	224
Wickenburg Police Department	1	7	0	7	10	12	6	5	0	48
Youngtown Police Department	3	5	1	1	3	12	2	45	0	72
Total	1,505	3,383	2,793	2,471	2,600	7,885	4,978	7,251	257	33,123

REFERRAL SOURCE


MOST FREQUENT COMPLAINT SOURCES - ANNUAL CHANGES

The total number of complaints has declined almost 10% over the last 5 years. The source of complaints has changed as well. Complaints from the Phoenix Police Department have dropped 8.6% from 2001 to 2005 (although the proportion of overall complaints increased compared to 2001). While both Tempe and Chandler have seen an increase in complaints since 2001.

	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Phoenix	10,173	9,341	9,405	8,595	9,299
	27.70%	26.80%	27.30%	25.20%	28.07%
Mesa	3,851	3,786	3,842	3,797	3,164
	10.48%	11.24%	11.16%	9.99%	9.55%
School	4,002	3,530	3,314	3,407	2,963
	10.90%	10.50%	9.63%	11.13%	8.95%
Probation Officer	3,426	3,505	3,237	2,878	2,594
	9.32%	10.41%	9.40%	8.44%	7.83%
Tempe	2,129	2,014	2,538	2,371	2,376
	5.80%	5.98%	7.37%	6.93%	7.17%
Glendale	2,791	2,429	2,018	2,362	2,682
	7.60%	7.21%	5.86%	6.95%	8.10%
Chandler	1,939	2,277	1,958	1,985	2,113
	5.28%	6.76%	5.69%	5.82%	6.38%
Maricopa County Sheriff	1,608	1,453	1,539	1,629	1,540
	4.38%	4.31%	4.47%	4.78%	4.65%
Scottsdale	1,267	1,439	1,405	1,495	1,136
	3.45%	4.27%	4.08%	4.38%	3.43%
Gilbert	887	980	962	1,030	864
	2.41%	2.91%	2.79%	2.22%	2.60%
Peoria	1,043	846	758	756	699
	2.84%	2.51%	2.20%	3.02%	2.10%
Attendance is Mandatory (AIM)	623	684	563	569	256
	1.69%	1.86%	1.64%	1.67%	0.80%
Other Sources	3,610	2,574	2,891	3,229	2,437
	9.83%	7.64%	8.40%	9.47%	10.40%
Total	36,726	34,858	34,430	34,103	33,123

CHARACTERISTICS OF JUVENILES REFERRED GENDER


In 2005, the number of complaints received that involved girls was 10,059 or 30.4%. Girls are most likely to be involved in status offenses, misdemeanor property theft, or public peace offenses. Boys are most likely to be involved in public peace offenses, status offenses, or misdemeanor property theft.



	Violent	Felony Prop.	Obstruction	Fighting	Drugs	Peace	Misd. Prop.	Status	Admin	Totals
Female	278	510	688	893	503	2,028	2,046	3,028	85	10,059
Male	1,227	2,873	2,105	1,578	2,097	5,857	2,932	4,223	172	23,064
Totals	1,505	3,383	2,793	2,471	2,600	7,885	4,978	7,251	257	33,123

CHARACTERISTICS OF JUVENILES REFERRED

AGE AT TIME OF COMPLAINT



Older juveniles are more likely to generate a complaint

- 24.9% of complaints involve 17 year olds
- 69.0% of complaints involve juveniles 15 and older
- Juveniles less than 14 are involved in only 15.7% of complaints

However, juveniles who get their first complaint at a younger age will eventually get more complaints than juveniles who get their first complaint at a later age.


Age at Referral	Violent	Felony Prop.	Obstruction	Fighting	Drugs	Peace	Misd. Prop.	Status	Admin	Totals
80	3	4	0	7	0	3	8	11	0	36
09	15	21	0	15	0	9	24	35	0	119
10	21	23	0	29	6	14	65	61	0	219
11	39	64	5	67	15	51	145	158	1	545
12	79	127	20	161	61	114	298	407	4	1,271
13	161	335	129	289	204	312	598	984	10	3,022
14	227	516	323	412	384	622	839	1,685	41	5,049
15	289	705	660	485	524	1,252	996	2,066	59	7,036
16	323	864	830	523	705	2,269	1,034	978	58	7,584
17	348	724	826	483	701	3,239	971	866	84	8,242
Totals	1,505	3,383	2,793	2,471	2,600	7,885	4,978	7,251	257	33,123

CHARACTERISTICS OF JUVENILES REFERRED


ETHNICITY

The data on this page shows the ethnic breakdown of complaints received in 2005 and compares it with the ethnic distribution of juveniles ages 8 through 17 in Maricopa County. The bar graph shows that Anglo (Caucasian, but not Hispanic) juveniles account for 56.2% of the population but only 46.1% of the complaints. Hispanic juveniles show just the reverse, 33% of the population but 39.2% of the complaints. African Americans have a much higher share of the complaints than their share of the population (10.4% of the complaints compared to only 4.5% of the population). Asians produce the fewest complaints in comparison to their share of population. This distribution of complaints across ethnic groups has remained relatively constant in recent years. The category of other includes those with ethnicity listed as unknown.

Percent of Complaints



Ethnic Breakdown of Complaints and Juvenile Population




	Violent	Felony Prop.	Obstruct	Fight	Drugs	Peace	Misd. Prop.	Status	Admin	Totals
African American	273	415	367	421	189	543	689	537	21	3,455
Anglo	585	1,314	1,147	1,202	1,303	4,470	2,322	2,762	150	15,255
Asian/ Pacific Isle	5	14	7	15	15	44	43	57		200
Hispanic	578	1,566	1,184	756	992	2,639	1,736	3,481	64	12,996
Native American	56	63	74	63	87	125	157	214	22	861
Other Origin*	8	11	14	14	14	64	31	200	0	356
Totals	1,505	3,383	2,793	2,471	2,600	7,885	4,978	7,251	257	33,123

^{*} Other includes those with ethnicity listed as unknown.

^{**} Source: Population Data from Arizona Department of Economic Security, Research Administration, Population Statistics Unit.


CHARACTERISTICS OF JUVENILES REFERRED SCHOOL STATUS



	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Juveniles Attending School	26,315	24,372	23,275	23,272	21,863
%Juveniles Attending School When Referred	71.6%	69.9%	67.6%	68.2%	66.0%

CHARACTERISTICS OF JUVENILES REFERRED

NUMBER OF PRIOR COMPLAINTS





Prior Complaints	Frequency	Percent
No Prior	15,234	46.0%
1 Prior	6,160	18.6%
2 Prior	3,433	10.4%
3 Prior	2,197	6.6%
4 Prior	1,556	4.7%
5 Prior	1,148	3.5%
6-10 Prior	2,639	8.0%
11 or more Prior	756	2.3%
Total	33,123	100%


FIRST TIME OFFENDERS


First Timer Offenders are juveniles who generated their first referral in that year.


	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
First Time Offender	15,982	15,005	14,852	15,162	15,234
	43.5%	43.0%	43.1%	44.5%	46.0%
Repeat Offender	20,744	19,853	19,578	18,941	17,889
	56.5%	56.9%	56.7%	80.0%	54.0%
Total	36,726	34,858	34,430	34,103	33,123












DETENTION

JUVENILES BROUGHT TO DETENTION SCREENING AND JUVENILES DETAINED

In 2005, there were 9,935 juveniles brought to detention, which resulted in 8,724 (87.8%) detainments. Requests generally occur when a police officer believes that a juvenile, apparently involved in illegal activity, needs to be confined to protect the community or the juvenile himself.

A juvenile probation officer, assigned to the Detention Screening Unit decides whether to detain or release the juvenile based on Supreme Court Rules and Juvenile Probation Department administrative criteria. Detention screeners are on duty 24 hours a day, every day of the year, at both detention facilities.



Of the 8,724 detainments 2,742 (31.4%) were for delinquent acts. The remaining 5,982 (68.6%) were detained on warrants, court holds, or holds for other jurisdictions or agencies, or as a term of probation.


The average daily population in detention for 2005 was 432, an increase of 6.5% since 2001. In December 1999, detention capacity was increased by almost 40% with the addition of 80 beds at Durango.


The average length of stay in 2005 was 18.2 days, about four days longer than in 2001. Approximately 43.8% of juveniles are released from detention in two days or less; either charges could not be filed against them, or a judge released them upon reviewing their situation more thoroughly.


Detention is regularly over capacity. In 2001, the average daily population was 13% over capacity, in 2005 that decreased to 7%.

PROBATION PROBATION STATISTICS 2001 – 2005

The number of juveniles on Probation has been decreasing in recent years. Since 2001, the number on standard probation has dropped by 14.6%, and the number on JIPS by 19.8%.









PROBATION


A Day on Standard Probation 12-01-2005


3,980 Juveniles were on Standard Probation

Most Severe Adjudicated Felony	Violent	Felony Property	Obstruction of Justice	Drugs	Peace	Adjudicated on a Misdemeanor	
Total Juveniles	363	1,000	35	174	233	2,175	3,980
Percentage	9.1%	25.1%	0.9%	4.4%	5.9%	54.6%	100%









	Age	
12 & Under	71	1.8%
13 Years	205	5.2%
14 Years	436	11.0%
15 Years	853	21.4%
16 Years	1,098	27.6%
17 Years	1,317	33.1%
TOTAL	3,980	100.0%


Months on Probation					
0-6	Months	2,154	54.1%		
7-12	Months	916	23.0%		
13-18	Months	402	10.1%		
19-24	Months	234	5.9%		
25 +	Months	274	6.9%		
TOTAL	3,980	100.0%			


PROBATION


A DAY ON JUVENILE INTENSIVE PROBATION (JIPS) 12-01-2005


531 Juveniles were on JIPS Probation

Most Severe Adjudicated Felony	Violent	Felony Property	Obstruction Of Justice	Drugs	Public Peace	Adjudicated on a Misdemeanor	Total
Total Juveniles	59	252	18	44	33	125	531
Percentage	11.1%	47.5%	3.4%	8.3%	6.2%	23.5%	100%









	Age	
12 & Under	1	0.2%
13 Years	9	1.7%
14 Years	53	10.0%
15 Years	86	16.2%
16 Years	171	32.2%
17 Years	211	39.7%
TOTAL	531	100%

Months on Probation					
0-6	Months	342	64.4%		
7-12	Months	110	20.7%		
13-18	Months	52	9.8%		
19-24	Months	17	3.2%		
25 +	Months	10	1.9%		
TOTAL		531	100%		

ACCOUNTABILITY


When juveniles are referred for violations of the law, the Juvenile Court is required to hold them accountable for their actions. Some options available are requiring them to pay restitution to a victim, perform community service work, pay a fine or attend an education program that addresses a particular problem.

Many juveniles who are required to perform unpaid community service work do it through the Juvenile Community Offender Restitution and Public Service program (JCORPS). The juveniles in this program: clean-up graffiti, pick up roadside litter, help to build and refurbish homes, and work in food banks. Probation staff members who are assigned to JCORPS locate sites for juveniles to do community work, bring them to the sites, and supervise them while they work.


If juveniles do not comply with the sanctions imposed, they are subject to further action, such as a violation of probation, or filing of a petition which could result in being placed on probation.

The charts on this and the next page show how juveniles have been held accountable, and how they have complied with orders to pay penalties or restitution, work in the community, attend educational programs, or complete other consequences.

COURT ORDERED MONETARY PENALTY 2001 - 2005




RESTITUTION 2001 - 2005




^{*} Fines Assessed amount is the amount to be paid in the specified year and includes Citation, Diversion and Court Ordered fines.

^{**} Restitution Assessed amount is the amount to be paid in the specified year.


ACCOUNTABILITY Work Hours 2001-2005



EDUCATIONAL COUNSELING PROGRAMS 2001 - 2005




OTHER CONSEQUENCES 2001 - 2005



TRENDS: COMMITMENTS TO JUVENILE CORRECTIONS


Juveniles, who are committed to the Department of Juvenile Corrections (ADJC) or prosecuted as adults, present the most serious challenges to the juvenile justice system. These are juveniles whom judges and probation officers consider the highest risk to the community. When a juvenile is committed or prosecuted as an adult, the Juvenile Court relinquishes supervision of that juvenile. The tables on the following pages show how many of these cases there have been over the past 5 years.


Commitments to ADJC have increased in recent years, 2005 showed a 24.7% increase over the year 2001 level. Awards are cases in which a juvenile previously committed is adjudicated for a new offense and returned to the ADJC. The 109 juveniles awarded in 2005 was a decrease of 18.6% of the 2001 figure of 134.




COMMITMENT PROFILE 2005

In 2005, the number of juveniles committed to the Arizona Department of Juvenile Corrections (ADJC) was 424. Similar to 2004, the majority (83%) of the juveniles had only one prior felony adjudication, and nearly half (46%) were committed on a probation violation.





Most Severe Commitment Offense



Age at Time of Commitment to ADJC							
12 Years	2	0.5%					
13 Years	14	3.3%					
14 Years	32	7.5%					
15 Years	89	21.0%					
16 Years	140	33.0%					
17 Years	147	34.7%					
TOTAL	424	100%					

Number of Felony Adjudications when Committed								
1 Felony		350	82.5%					
2 Felonies		1	0.2%					
None		73	17.2%					
	TOTAL	424	100%					


^{*} Other includes those where ethnicity was listed as unknown.

JUVENILES PROSECUTED AS ADULTS

Not all juveniles accused of illegal acts are prosecuted in Juvenile Court. Arizona law requires that under certain conditions (based on the offense alleged and the juvenile's age and offense history) the county attorney <u>must</u> file charges against the juvenile in adult criminal court. These are referred to as **Direct Files** and came into law in July 1997. In certain other situations the state <u>may</u> file adult charges.

If the juvenile and/or his offense history do not meet the requirements for a Direct File, the state may file a Petition for Transfer, in which the state is asking the Juvenile Court to "**remand**" the juvenile to criminal court for prosecution as an adult.


The number of juveniles transferred to adult has changed. In 1997, new legislation mandated that some juveniles be prosecuted as adults (based on their age, offense history, and presenting offense). This resulted in an all-time high of 616 adult prosecutions in 1998 (81 remands and 535 direct files). Since then, the number of adult prosecutions has fluctuated; in 2005 there was a 3.2% increase from the 2001 level.





JUVENILES PROSECUTED AS ADULTS DIRECT FILES


In 2005 the number of juveniles charged with offenses that were Direct Filed in adult criminal court was 321. The table and graphs below profile those juveniles.

		Age When Apprehended									
	14	14 15 16 17 To									
Female	0 0.0%	1 2.3%	3 2.8%	15 9.1%	19 5.9%						
Male	4 100%	42 97.7%	106 97.2%	150 90.9%	302 94.1%						
Totals	4	43	109	165	321						












JUVENILES PROSECUTED AS ADULTS REMANDS


Juvenile Court judges ruled on 164 petitions for transfer involving 148 juveniles in 2005. They granted 75 of those petitions resulting in 66 juveniles being remanded for criminal prosecution as adults. The table and graphs below profile these juveniles.

		Age When Apprehended								
	13	13 14 15 16 17 Total								
Female	0	0	0	0	3	3				
	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.2%	4.5%				
Male	1 100%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100%	55 94.8%	63 95.5%				
Total	1 100%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100%	58 100%	66 100%				









DIVERSION

Most illegal activity by juveniles involves children who are first or second time offenders charged with relatively minor illegal acts. There is a good chance they will never be referred again. For the most part, these are the types of juveniles assigned to diversion programs. The Early Intervention Division is dedicated to the principles of Restorative Justice and encourages participation from the victim, the offender, and the community. In a diversion program a juvenile can satisfy his obligation to the state and to the victim without going through the formal court process. To satisfy his obligation a juvenile must:

- Acknowledge responsibility for the illegal act and
- Participate in unpaid community service work and/or an approved counseling, education, rehabilitation, or supervision program;
- Pay restitution to the victim when requested; or
- Pay a monetary assessment when required.

If the juvenile complies with the requirements, the County Attorney will not file formal charges. If the juvenile does not comply, the case is submitted to the County Attorney for review. This could result in the filing of a petition alleging delinquency or incorrigibility.

The juvenile probation department, several cities, schools and private enterprises administer an array of diversion programs. The County Attorney's office determines which offenses are eligible for diversion. These are generally misdemeanor offenses, status offenses and a limited number of drug/alcohol related offenses.

Juveniles are assigned to any one of several types of diversion programs, depending on where they live and the type of offense alleged. In order to participate in diversion, the juvenile must accept responsibility for the alleged delinquent or incorrigible behavior. In order to have the matter closed without formal Court action, the juvenile must complete all sanctions imposed by the Juvenile Probation Officer.

The following are some of the diversion programs we have available:

Diversion Program: The majority of delinquent and status offense referrals are assigned to Probation Officers within the Diversion Unit of the Early Intervention Program. These Officers schedule interviews with the juvenile and family in order to determine if the case can be handled without formal Court involvement. In order to determine if the juvenile will enter a diversion program, the Juvenile Probation Officer considers the nature of the offense along with other social, educational and familial information.

Community Justice Panels: A number of delinquent and status offense referrals are assigned to Community Justice Panels. A Community Justice Panel is made up of a Probation Officer and volunteers from the local community. The panels meet with juveniles and their parents/guardians within local communities and conduct interviews similar to those held within Diversion. This restorative justice effort allows for the community and the offender to participate in the resolution of the complaint. The department has partnered with neighborhood associations, community groups, and local retailers to form the Community Justice Panels. In order to participate in diversion, the juvenile must accept responsibility for the alleged delinquent or incorrigible behavior. In order to have the matter closed without formal Court action, the juvenile must complete all sanctions imposed by the Juvenile Probation Officer.

Domestic Violence Program: Referrals alleging domestic violence are assigned to a Probation Officer that has received specialized training related to domestic violence issues. These Officers have a variety of services and programs specific to Domestic Violence available to them.

City Diversion Programs: Several cities in Maricopa County operate or fund city specific diversion programs. Generally these programs provide diversion for juveniles that have received their first referral for things such as truancy, status offenses, or liquor offenses. The cities of Phoenix, Mesa, Gilbert, Scottsdale, Peoria, and Glendale

have diversion programs. If juveniles do not complete the consequences or programs assigned through the city diversion process, the case is then assigned to the Diversion unit for follow up. Depending upon the circumstances, the juveniles can be offered another diversion program, or the case may be sent to the County Attorney for review.

Drug Diversion: First time offenders referred for drug related offenses can be assigned to the Drug Diversion program, as determined by the County Attorney's Office. The County Attorney may find that the case is appropriate for Drug Diversion and then make them eligible to participate in a drug education and prevention program. If the juvenile completes the approved drug education and prevention program, the County Attorney will not file a petition.

Teen Court: Teen Court is an alternative sentencing option whereby consequences related to delinquent or status offenses are imposed by a jury of their peers. Before being assigned to Teen Court, the juveniles meet with a Probation Officer, accept responsibility for their actions, and agree to have their case heard within one of the Teen Courts operated across Maricopa County. The jury is trained and supervised by probation staff, or other adult volunteers familiar with the juvenile justice system. Both the juvenile and parents must appear before the Teen Court

CUTS: The Court Unified Truancy Suppression program is a cooperative effort between the Juvenile Probation Department and several schools to work with truant students and their families. The Probation Officer meets the juvenile and family on campus and works directly with school personnel to develop consequences that are completed at the school and assist the family in maintaining good attendance.

DIVERSIONConsequences

The following table shows the many different kinds of consequences given to juveniles in Diversion. The table on the following page profiles the juveniles assigned diversion consequences.

There is not a one-to-one relationship among juveniles, complaints, and diversion consequences. A juvenile can be given more than one consequence for a single complaint, and occasionally two complaints can be dealt with simultaneously and receive the same consequence(s).


In 2005 a total of 9,843 juveniles were given 16,117 consequences for 10,766 diversion-eligible complaints and citations.

	Consq. Co	mpleted?	
	N	Υ	Total
Alcohol Related Program	23	336	359
	6.4%	93.6%	100%
Apology Letter	95	734	1,300
	11.5%	88.5%	100%
Apply for Employment	10	11	21
	47.6%	52.4%	100%
Attend School	27	59	86
	31.4%	68.6%	100%
Book Report	207	1,325	1,532
	13.5%	86.5%	100%
Counseling	274	1,282	1,085
	17.6%	82.4%	100%
City Diversion	549	856	1,405
	39.1%	60.9%	100%
CUTS Truancy Program	402	726	1,128
	35.6%	64.4%	100%
Drug Diversion Program	233	1,071	1,304
	17.9%	82.1%	100%
Education Program	263	1,953	2,216
	11.9%	88.1%	100%
Fire Education Program	1	16	17
	6%	94%	100%
Family Violence Program	55	231	286
	19.2%	80.8%	100%
Consequence Given by a City or Justice Court	0	636	636
	0%	100%	100%
Life Sentence Program	2	3	5
	40%	60%	100%
National Curriculum Training Institute	52	425	477
	10.9%	89.1%	100%
TASC Drug Program	0	37	37
	0%	100%	100%
Teen Court	57	588	645
	8.8%	91.2%	100%
Act as a Tutor	169	272	441
	38.3%	61.7%	100%
Work Hours	584	2,552	3,136
	18.6%	81.4%	100%
Miscellaneous	0	1	1
	0%	100%	100%
tal	3,003	13,114	16,117
tai	-,		

DIVERSION JUVENILES ASSIGNED TO DIVERSION

These tables profile the 9,843 juveniles who were given Diversion consequences in 2005. If juveniles were involved in Diversion more than once, the age at the first time they were referred is captured, and only the most serious of the offenses involved are included.

Most Severe Offense in Diversion



TREATMENT

Each year juvenile probation officers refer thousands of juveniles to various programs in the community. The programs vary from individual or group counseling sessions to specialized residential treatment centers. The Probation Department tracks those services paid for out of the funding allocated by the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) and the County. The table below shows the number of delinquent and incorrigible juveniles referred to various treatment programs in 2005. It is possible for a juvenile to become involved in more than one program, or be involved in the same program more than one time. The table counts juveniles only one time if they are involved in a program more than once, but if they are involved with more than one program, they are counted in each program.

Services and Programs Provided	Juveniles
Mental Competency	326
Diversion	266
Probation Counseling and Therapy	2,825
Juvenile Intensive Probation Counseling	127
Functional Family Therapy	39
Multisystemic Therapy	24
Miscellaneous Testing and Services	2,934
Total	6,541

Out-Of-Home Treatment Programs	Juveniles
Alternatives to Detention	177
Intensive Outpatient	184
Residential Treatment	453
Total	814

The decrease in the number of juveniles receiving services from 2004 (13,653) to 2005 (7,335) can be attributed to an increased focus on utilizing federal funding entitlements such as Title XIX and Title XXI (which is not tracked by the department).

RECIDIVISM PROBABILITY OF NOT GETTING A NEW OFFENSE WITHIN ONE YEAR


Recidivism is the variable most commonly used to judge how a juvenile has responded to intervention by the juvenile justice system. This section examines a juvenile's performance for one year from the time they complete involvement with the Probation Department. All the tables on this and the following two pages show the likelihood that a juvenile will not be apprehended for an illegal act within that year.

Juveniles who are 17 years old at the time of completion are not included, because they will not have an entire year available. Violations of probation are not counted as recidivism.

The first table looks at all offenses received in a year and shows the percentage of juveniles who have remained referral-free for 365 days. "First Timers" includes only juveniles who generated their first referral in that year. "All Juveniles" includes the first referral in that year of any juvenile regardless of referral history. First Timers always have a better chance of success, simply because most juveniles who are apprehended learn from their experience and are never referred again.

All Juveniles with a Complaint





Note: This chart shows juveniles tracked for 365 days after a referral to see if he (she) recidivates or if the 365 days pass without another complaint.


Juveniles who are 17 years old at the time of their complaint are excluded since they would not have 365 days left under the iurisdiction of the Juvenile Court.

Also excluded are all administrative offenses, violations of probation and invalid complaints.

RECIDIVISM DIVERSION


By law juveniles who are apprehended for illegal activity must complete some consequence, which could be in a Diversion Program, or through placement on Probation. The following two tables show the probability of not being apprehended for a new offense for all the juveniles who <u>successfully</u> completed either Diversion or Probation in a given year. The time starts when the juvenile completes the program and runs for 365 days. Again, juveniles who are 17 years old at time of completion are not included.

Percent of Juveniles with No Complaints or Felonies within One Year of Successful Completion of Diversion




RECIDIVISM PROBATION


Percent of Juveniles with no Complaints or Felonies within One Year of Successful Release from Probation



CLASS OF 2005


In 2005, approximately 48,125 juveniles in Maricopa County turned 18 and became adults. The following is a cohort analysis of these young adults born in 1987. From this cohort 14,964 or 31.1% of these juveniles were referred for illegal activity during their juvenile years. The following tables and graphs detail the characteristics and referral information for the juveniles in this group.





* Other also includes Juveniles whose origin was listed as Unknown.

Age at First Referral by Number of Total							
Referrals							
Age at First		Average Number					
Complaint	Juveniles	of Complaints					
8	75	5.13					
9	146	5.34					
10	283	4.88					
11	444	4.27					
12	970	3.85					
13	1,992	3.07					
14	2,490	2.59					
15	2,716	2.10					
16	2,821	1.60					
17	3,027	1.17					
Total	14,964	2.31					



Number of Juveniles	Percent of Cohort	Number of Complaints
8,479	17.6%	1
2,731	5.7%	2
1,309	2.7%	3
691	1.4%	4
455	0.9%	5
1,299	2.7%	6 or More
14,964	31.1%	Total Juveniles with Complaints

Number of	Percent of	
Juveniles	Cohort	
4,897	10.2%	had a felony offense
1,534	3.2%	had two or more felony offenses
1,152	2.4%	had a violent offense
154	0.3%	had two or more violent offenses
2,053	4.3%	had a drug offense
309	0.6%	had two or more drug offenses
4,077	8.5%	were placed in probation
374	0.8%	were committed to Dept of Juv Corrections
346	0.7%	were prosecuted as adults


VICTIMS AND RESTITUTION

Restitution is the act of returning or restoring to a victim (either a person or a legal entity) what is theirs. The Court can order full or partial restitution to a victim after the juvenile has been adjudicated delinquent (found guilty).


After the juvenile's first court hearing the Victim Services Unit at the Juvenile Probation Department sends a letter to the victim(s) of the crime. Victim Services keeps the victim(s) informed of all developments in the case, and informs them about how to request restitution. Victims must submit a verified Victim Statement to the Court to receive restitution.

The charts below show the number of victims that have been contacted each year by the Victim Services Unit, the number juveniles who have paid restitution, and the amount paid.


Number of Victims Contacted



Number of Juveniles Paying Restitution



Amount of Restitution Paid




COURT ACTIVITY2005 PETITIONS FILED

Juvenile judges and commissioners make decisions about adoption and dependency matters as well as delinquency and incorrigibility. The data on the next three pages show the various petitions and hearings which occupy the Juvenile Court Calendar.

The significant changes in delinquency petitions over the past few years are a result of procedural changes in the processing of citations. In 1999 they were heard as delinquency matters by juvenile court judges and commissioners, rather than juvenile hearing officers throughout the county. In 2001, as more cases were being cited directly to the juvenile court center they were being diverted to probation officers. This area will continue to change as the process is improved to handle the increasing number of citations sent directly to the juvenile court.

In 1999, a procedural change allowed severances to be filed through motions on an existing dependency petition. In 2005, these motions were counted, resulting in the dramatic increase (312%) in the severance filings seen here.




Petitions Filed	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Adoption	956	935	929	1,063	1,099
Delinquency	15,847	14,400	14,244	14,285	13,761
Dependency	952	1,145	1,621	1,813	1,931
Severance (Petition & Motion) *	288	265	246	298	1,227
Guardianship **	0	0	0	224	1,604
Adult Criminal	365	365	258	274	322
Miscellaneous ***	534	532	660	637	564
TOTAL	18,942	17,642	17,958	18,594	20,508

^{*} Severance now includes Motions and Petitions. 874 Severance Motions were filed in 2005.

^{**} In January of 2005 Guardianship petitions involving minors were moved from the Adult Court to the Juvenile Court to be handled with other Juvenile Court matters.

^{***} Miscellaneous includes non-petitions and emancipations. There were 548 non-petitions and 16 emancipations in 2005.

COURT ACTIVITY 2005 COURT HEARING SUMMARY



^{*} Guardianship hearings involving juveniles were moved from Adult Court to Juvenile Court in late 2004.

A hearing is considered "Held" if it has a recorded start and end time. A Completed hearing is one showing a result of completed or under advisement; in other words, it is not continued to another date.


In 2005, a total of 94,688 hearings were scheduled, a total of 66,409 hearings were held, and 57,627 were completed.


Over half (56%) of the total hearings scheduled were for delinquency matters which is a slight decrease from last year.


^{**} Miscellaneous includes non-petitions and emancipations.


COURT ACTIVITY


COURT HEARINGS SCHEDULED 2001 - 2005

















** Miscellaneous includes non-petitions and emancipations.

TOP 20 ZIP CODES

This table focuses on the 20 ZIP codes in Maricopa County that generated the most complaints in 2005. Note that it portrays where the juvenile lived at the time of the offense, not where the offense took place. The ZIP codes are ranked one through twenty and compared to their ranking and number of complaints five years earlier in 2001.

The last column shows the rate at which complaints rose or fell during the five years. Areas that have increased have a percentage greater than 100. They are in Chandler, Mesa, Central Phoenix, Avondale, Tempe, and Glendale. Overall complaints from these 20 ZIP codes have decreased by almost 6% since 2001.

Rank	ZIP	City	Total	Rank	Total	2005 Percentage of 2001
2005	Code		2005	2001	2001	
1	85301	Glendale	1,203	1	1,520	79.10%
2	85225	Chandler	1,034	10	806	128.30%
3	85033	Maryvale	968	2	1,106	87.50%
4	85035	Maryvale	856	3	1,046	81.80%
5	85009	Central Phoenix	823	4	1,037	79.40%
6	85323	Avondale	767	21	530	144.70%
7	85204	Mesa	688	8	895	76.90%
8	85040	South Phoenix	660	6	991	66.60%
9	85201	Mesa	646	9	845	76.40%
10	85008	Central Phoenix	639	7	942	67.80%
11	85041	South Phoenix	623	12	707	88.10%
12	85031	Maryvale	616	15	670	91.90%
13	85345	Peoria	594	14	694	85.60%
14	85283	Tempe/Guadalupe	576	18	554	104.00%
15	85017	Central Phoenix	545	16	666	81.80%
16	85032	Phoenix	541	11	737	73.40%
17	85282	Tempe	529	23	506	104.50%
18	85015	Central Phoenix	517	13	696	74.30%
19	85224	Chandler	506	5	1,029	49.20%
20	85374	Surprise	497	59	232	214.20%
		Total	13,828		16,209	85.30%
		All Complaints	33,123		36,726	90.20%
% of	All Com	plaints from Top 20	42%	•	44%	

City	ZIP	Violent	Felony Prop.	Obstr.	Fight	Drugs	Peace	Misd. Prop.	Status	Hold	Total
Aguila	85320	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Apache Jct	85220	0	9	2	3	6	41	17	4	2	84
Anthem	85086	9	9	11	18	15	63	12	35	2	174
Avondale	85323	30	85	48	76	71	204	138	113	2	767
Black Canyon	85324	0	0	1	0	1	1	4	0	0	7
Buckeye	85326	31	36	18	23	32	96	36	53	2	327
Carefree	85377	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	6
Cave Creek	85327	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	3
Cave Creek	85331	1	13	1	4	7	65	9	10	0	110
Central Phoenix	85006	28	52	58	23	26	83	42	134	3	449
Central Phoenix	85009	42	100	67	34	70	130	90	288	2	823
Central Phoenix	85015	39	84	45	34	42	76	106	89	2	517
Central Phoenix	85017	18	46	37	39	39	95	90	178	3	545
Chandler	85224	11	31	42	24	27	140	56	171	4	506
Chandler	85225	29	75	82	76	64	261	126	314	7	1,034
Chandler	85226	9	29	28	30	33	113	48	94	2	386
Chandler	85244	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
Chandler	85249	5	24	10	19	24	55	24	58	1	220
Coolidge	85228	0	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	1	6
El Mirage	85335	22	43	38	28	40	80	69	61	3	384
Fountain Hills	85268	1	11	3	4	7	46	18	12	0	102
Fountain Hills	85269	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2
Gilbert	85233	7	18	30	17	40	52	38	67	4	273
Gilbert	85234	9	15	14	25	34	73	40	72	1	283
Gilbert	85296	14	15	15	24	38	69	57	64	2	298
Gilbert	85297	1	12	10	13	20	25	29	27	0	137
Gilbert	85299	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Glendale	85301	63	137	108	139	101	259	170	219	7	1,203
Glendale	85302	29	28	50	59	31	93	50	38	2	380
Glendale	85303	25	61	38	24	28	115	69	75	3	438
Glendale	85304	14	17	17	43	16	59	33	42	1	242
Glendale	85305	1	4	12	6	7	14	10	3	0	57
Glendale	85306	15	15	26	34	23	72	35	29	2	251
Glendale	85307	2	5	4	5	9	16	7	16	1	65
Glendale	85308	18	33	28	32	29	131	72	127	0	470
Glendale	85310	5	7	5	9	7	59	27	25	0	144
Glendale	85311	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Glendale	85312	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	3
Glendale	85318	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
Goodyear	85338	12	30	18	31	27	77	57	34	1	287
Higley	85236	1	1	13	2	9	12	11	10	1	60

City	ZIP	Violent	Felony Prop.	Obstr.	Fight	Drugs	Peace	Misd. Prop.	Status	Hold	Total
Laveen	85339	4	16	9	10	6	18	8	17	0	88
Litchfield Park	85340	6	31	18	11	14	26	19	11	1	137
Maryvale	85031	24	41	51	24	39	88	86	260	3	616
Maryvale	85033	34	95	99	47	61	128	125	373	6	968
Maryvale	85035	35	98	68	50	46	125	109	322	3	856
Mesa	85201	30	69	86	49	46	142	89	124	11	646
Mesa	85202	10	37	36	30	33	106	52	42	0	346
Mesa	85203	13	36	26	28	39	85	62	67	5	361
Mesa	85204	20	65	70	48	77	170	136	97	5	688
Mesa	85205	4	26	26	16	31	72	62	40	6	283
Mesa	85206	8	15	6	10	14	37	27	23	1	141
Mesa	85207	7	22	19	28	29	87	45	46	4	287
Mesa	85208	13	36	39	25	35	109	74	54	6	391
Mesa	85209	3	2	5	2	8	12	15	6	0	53
Mesa	85210	15	42	58	27	47	125	74	60	2	450
Mesa	85211	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	4
Mesa	85212	5	23	21	19	10	35	24	18	1	156
Mesa	85213	7	19	21	26	29	92	42	33	0	269
Mesa	85214	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Mesa	85215	0	5	4	4	8	22	8	9	0	60
Mesa	85216	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Mesa	85275	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Morristown	85342	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	4
New River	85027	107	23	30	24	12	84	71	51	1	403
New River	85087	0	1	2	2	7	12	0	3	0	27
North Phoenix	85032	28	46	39	47	28	123	90	138	2	541
Palo Verde	85343	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
Paradise Valley	85253	5	8		3	8	15	5	3	0	47
Peoria	85345	29	58	45	45	61	119	131	106	0	594
Peoria	85380			1	1	2	0	1	0	0	5
Peoria	85381	9	21	19	11	16	65	30	16	2	189
Peoria	85382	3	19	21	21	15	69	40	17	0	205
Peoria	85383	0	9	2	9	6	30	18	19	0	93
Peoria	85385	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Phoenix	85001	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Phoenix	85002	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
Phoenix	85003	6	13	1	2	4	14	22	10	0	72
Phoenix	85004	5	12	5	3	3	5	4	11	0	48
Phoenix	85005	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	4
Phoenix	85007	8	22	21	6	12	34	15	23	1	142
Phoenix	85008	32	73	69	17	28	130	101	183	6	639
Phoenix	85010	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1

City	ZIP	Violent	Felony Prop.	Obstr.	Fight	Drugs	Peace	Misd. Prop.	Status	Hold	Total
Phoenix	85012	1	0	1	2	2	4	2	6	2	20
Phoenix	85013	6	21	21	11	11	33	33	35	3	174
Phoenix	85014	11	29	21	16	20	42	41	48	2	230
Phoenix	85016	11	26	30	18	15	51	32	96	4	283
Phoenix	85018	7	19	20	13	14	51	31	41	1	197
Phoenix	85019	18	41	39	21	14	81	54	123	2	393
Phoenix	85020	15	22	19	16	13	54	46	54	2	241
Phoenix	85021	13	38	28	14	15	56	52	77	2	295
Phoenix	85022	20	34	25	27	17	87	59	38	1	308
Phoenix	85023	11	26	32	30	13	77	70	64	0	323
Phoenix	85024	7	19	4	24	7	38	16	20	0	135
Phoenix	85026	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Phoenix	85028	4	10	8	4	5	28	15	13	0	87
Phoenix	85029	21	44	37	45	29	101	76	71	4	428
Phoenix	85030	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Phoenix	85034	5	23	17	6	19	18	16	33	2	139
Phoenix	85036	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2
Phoenix	85037	30	56	30	46	35	85	77	102	4	465
Phoenix	85039	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	4
Phoenix	85042	18	41	27	24	21	53	54	46	1	285
Phoenix	85043	11	26	20	20	16	52	34	94	1	274
Phoenix	85044	10	9	11	9	14	59	27	13	1	153
Phoenix	85045	0	3	1	1	0	8	3	3	0	19
Phoenix	85048	5	15	7	10	6	45	39	13	0	140
Phoenix	85050	5	60	14	4	7	29	19	10	0	148
Phoenix	85051	20	37	46	46	29	72	79	63	3	395
Phoenix	85052	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Phoenix	85053	10	17	13	21	17	43	38	48	0	207
Phoenix	85054	0	1	2	2	0	6	1	0	0	12
Phoenix	85055	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Phoenix	85063	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2
Phoenix	85066	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Phoenix	85067	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Phoenix	85068	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Phoenix	85069	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Phoenix	85080	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2
Phoenix	85085	0	5	2	3	2	12	5	3	0	32
Phoenix/	05000	4	4.4	_	_			40			
Cashion Queen Creek	85329	1	11	5	2	2	6	10	6	0	43
	85227	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	5
Queen Creek	85242	7	7	23	10	15	67	29	14	10	182
Scottsdale	85250	1	6	2	7	13	25	9	21	0	84
Scottsdale	85251	7	23	21	17	19	57	24	44	2	214

City	ZIP	Violent	Felony Prop.	Obstr.	Fight	Drugs	Peace	Misd. Prop.	Status	Hold	Total
Scottsdale	85252	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	3
Scottsdale	85255	1	15	4	9	10	49	4	18	0	110
Scottsdale	85256	0	3	5	2	9	18	14	29	1	81
Scottsdale	85257	6	11	29	19	12	49	25	53	3	207
Scottsdale	85258	2	3	2	5	8	35	2	5	1	63
Scottsdale	85259	3	7	5	2	13	63	6	16	0	115
Scottsdale	85260	5	12	9	15	25	82	26	16	1	191
Scottsdale	85261	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
Scottsdale	85262	2	6		1	3	27	4	1	0	44
Scottsdale	85267	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3
Scottsdale	85271	2	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	5
Scottsdale/ Ft. McDowell	85264	0	1	6	2	6	6	3	4	0	28
Scottsdale/ Phoenix	85254	10	46	4	27	17	105	29	21	0	259
South Phoenix	85040	45	139	59	36	48	122	129	81	1	660
South Phoenix	85041	34	110	58	39	44	116	90	129	3	623
Sun City West/ Grand	85351	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	3
Sun City West/ Grand	85373	0	6	1	1	2	3	3	7	0	23
Sun Lakes/ Chandler	85248	2	17	7	21	9	62	17	30	1	166
Surprise	85374	32	28	29	29	44	162	46	124	3	497
Surprise	85379	2	6	3	15	12	57	17	29	0	141
Surprise	85387	1	3	3	2	3	12	2	6	0	32
Tempe	85280	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Tempe	85281	15	58	52	41	32	98	42	139	2	479
Tempe	85282	20	42	30	52	33	79	65	203	5	529
Tempe	85284	0	10	3	12	9	32	12	61	0	139
Tempe Tempe/	85285	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
Guadalupe	85283	19	40	46	58	53	113	61	180	6	576
Tolleson	85353	8	30	19	20	16	59	18	52	0	222
Tonopah	85354	3	3	0	1	7	14	3	4	0	35
Waddell	85355	1	2	2	3	8	7	5	3	0	31
Wickenburg	85358	0	2	0	1	3	1	1	0	0	8
Wickenburg	85390	1	5	0	4	3	10	6	4	1	34
Wittmann	85361	0	1	6	4	5	8	2	7	0	33
Youngtown	85363	1	4	3	2	2	7	11	19	0	49
Other AZ Counties Other		24	15	22	11	20	94	71	50	28	335
Jurisdictions*		28	51	55	20	46	171	86	107	25	589
	Totals	1,505	3,383	2,793	2,471	2,600	7,885	4,978	7,251	257	33,123

^{*} Other Jurisdiction includes Referrals where Zip Code was out of state, not given or unknown.

ARIZONA COUNTIES FOR 2005 REFERRALS

		Grand					Petty			
City	Violent	Theft	Obstr	Fight	Drugs	Peace	Theft	Status	Admin	Totals
APACHE	1	0	0	0	2	4	5	1	0	13
COCHISE		1	3	1		1	2	1	1	10
COCONINO	2	3	5	0	1	4	5	3	0	23
GILA	1	0	1	2	2	13	5	5	3	32
GRAHAM	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	3
GREENLEE	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
LA PAZ	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
MOHAVE	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	4
NAVAJO	2	0	1	1	2	9	3	2	3	23
PIMA	7	3	5	5	5	23	8	7	3	66
PINAL	8	5	4	2	7	12	28	23	12	101
SANTA CRUZ	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	3
YAVAPAI	2	1	2	0	1	16	7	5	2	36
YUMA	1	1	0	0	0	8	2	3	3	18
Total	24	15	22	11	20	94	71	50	28	335

SCHOOL DISTRICTS OF JUVENILES REFERRED

ELEMENTARY DISTRICTS

		Grand					Petty			
School District	Violent	Theft	Obstr	Fight	Drugs	Peace	Theft	Status	Admin	Totals
Alhambra	18	56	19	47	20	36	76	164	3	439
Avondale	5	9	9	8	9	26	18	42	1	127
Balsz	3	5	4	1	3	1	12	8	0	37
Buckeye	2	6	2	4		9	3	15	0	45
Cartwright	20	45	30	39	36	28	99	265	2	564
Creighton	9	23	17	7		13	19	42	1	148
Fowler	3	6	2	6	8	5	10	22	0	62
Glendale	18	40	19	39	20	66	103	61	1	367
Higley	4	14	18	14	_	29	40	20	0	165
Isaac	8	22	8	10	7	16	24	79	0	174
Kyrene	9	5	3	18	18	28	25	34	0	140
Laveen	10	12	1	2	7	3	1	14	0	50
Liberty	3	4	0	2	0	2	6	2	0	19
Litchfield	1	16	9	8	6	12	10	8	0	70
Littleton	5	5	1	7	8	5	11	8	0	50
Madison	4	7	4	6	4	9	16	22	0	72
Morristown	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Murphy	2	8	2	5	4	6	4	25	0	56
Nadaburg	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	
Osborn	6	13	7	4	11	7	31	34	1	114
Palo Verde		4	2	5	2	2	3	7	0	25
Phoenix	24	47	43	37	27	37	50	86	0	351
Riverside	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	13	0	15
Roosevelt	12	71	34	27	35	58	57	78	0	
Tempe	27	46	31	45	26	66	80	125	2	448
Tolleson	4	9	4	7	5	8	7	8	0	52
Union	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	5
Washington	35	53	24	51	28	59	96	273	0	619
Wilson	3	6	6	3	2	22	5	35	0	82
Total	237	534	300	403	334	553	810	1,492	11	4,674

SCHOOL DISTRICTS OF JUVENILES REFERRED

HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICTS


		Grand					Petty			
School District	Violent	Theft	Obstr	Fight	Drugs	Peace	Theft	Status	Admin	Totals
Agua Fria	11	37	22	37	56	59	85	24	1	332
Buckeye Union	6	21	12	20	25	42	22	19	1	168
Glendale Union	58	97	76	99	88	192	211	85	2	908
Phoenix Union	98	288	223	124	156	413	398	1,319	5	3,024
Tempe Union	39	110	85	117	115	198	192	252	4	1,112
Tolleson Union	23	69	42	50	53	105	83	112	0	537
Totals	235	622	460	447	493	1,009	991	1,811	13	6,081


UNIFIED DISTRICTS

		Grand					Petty			
School District	Violent	Theft	Obstr	Fight	Drugs	Peace	Theft	Status	Admin	Totals
Apache Junction	0	2	2	1		10	16	1	2	34
Cave Creek	7	14	1	2	7	26	11	5	0	73
Chandler	27	82	49	74	62	163	147	229	1	834
Deer Valley	31	78	60	70	57	177	180	264	3	920
Dysart	39	58	49	41	60	131	89	141	1	609
Fountain Hills	1	8	3	5	8	21	16	7	0	69
Gila Bend	1	0	1	4	1	4	1	6	0	18
Gilbert	32	73	79	85	113	150	149	141	5	827
Mesa	79	257	237	209	297	488	512	478	14	2,571
Paradise Valley	47	187	49	90	63	227	190	206	0	1,059
Peoria	35	81	71	118	85	224	206	176	3	999
Queen Creek	3	2	2	1	8	23	12	1	0	52
Scottsdale	23	61	39	60	73	157	96	117	0	626
Wickenburg	1		4	7	7	15	4	3	0	41
Totals	326	903	646	767	841	1,816	1,629	1,775	29	8,732

MISCELLANEOUS SCHOOLS

		Grand					Petty			
School District	Violent	Theft	Obstr	Fight	Drugs	Peace	Theft	Status	Admin	Totals
Charter Schools	210	464	732	252	386	768	455	479	15	3,761
Colleges	1	3	6	5	11	18	11	5	1	61
East Valley Inst Tech	1	5		1	7	4	2	5		25
Maricopa County Regional Spec	13	35	56	48	24	46	39	47	1	309
Other	44	78	104	57	39	79	83	57	1	542
Residential Treatment Facility	33	19	119	48	9	28	26	25	1	308
Totals	302	604	1,017	411	476	943	616	618	19	5,006





Maricopa County Juvenile Probation Department 2005 Data Book Page 64

